

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Laurent HUMEAU et al.

Application No.: 10/664,331

Confirmation No.: 4194

Filed: September 16, 2003

Art Unit: 1648

For: METHODS FOR STABLE TRANSDUCTION
OF CELLS WITH VIRAL VECTORS

Examiner: Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT / RESPONSE TO NON-RESPONSIVE
AMENDMENT**

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

This is in response to a Office communication mailed July 10, 2008, which states that Applicants' response filed with the Office on April 21, 2008 was non-responsive to the prior Office Action (restriction requirement) mailed February 6, 2008. The Office states on page 2 of the communication that Applicants failed to clearly identify the claims that read on the elected invention.

As requested by the Office, Applicants have carefully reviewed the restriction requirement and have accurately identified the claims that read on the elected invention.

Therefore, Applicants herein submit a *complete* Response to restriction requirement.

The prior Office Action (restriction requirement) was mailed February 6, 2008, and a response was initially due March 6, 2008. Therefore, filed herewith is a Petition and fee for a five month extension of time (minus two months), thereby extending the deadline for response to August 6, 2008. Applicants had previously paid a two-month extension-of-time fee with the response to restriction requirement filed with the Office on April 21, 2008. Accordingly, this response is timely filed.

Consideration and allowance of the pending claims are respectfully requested.

Amendments to the claims begin on page 3 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 14 of this paper.